

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/588,453	06/06/2000	Mohammad Husain	56728-P002US-10005779	9205	
29053 75	590 03/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DALLAS OFFICE OF FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.			LANIER, BENJAMIN E		
2200 ROSS AV	ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2800 DALLAS TV 75201 2784				TATER NOMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75201-2784		2132 DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action -

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/588,453	HUSAIN ET AL.		
Cupusings	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Examiner	Art Unit		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						
Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut before on an the date of filing - b					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut belore or on the date of filing a raid sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. $oxed{oxed}$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
See Continuation Sheet.						
2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. ☐ Other:						
6 Abent 2						
	GILBERTO SUPERVISORY PA	BARRON THE				
	JULE LA L'ALLA L	irivi PINBAINCO				

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that non of the information types of Maes is used to obtain other information is not persuasive because as previously stated Maes discloses a portable transaction system wherein a user is enrolled with a service provider and the user's information and credit card or ATM card information is stored on a central server of the service provider (Col. 6, line 59 - Col. 7, line 1). The credit card or ATM card number, which corresponds to a user account number, would meet the limitation of the captured information. When the user swips or uses this card for a purchase, the credit card number/account number is captured and the user is requested to provide password/PIN/biometric type information (Col. 3, line 37 - Col. 4, line 11), which would be the verifying information. This would meet the claim limitation of utilizing said captured information to receive verifying information about said subscriber because the credit card or ATM card must be used before this information is entered. This is the standard practice for such transactions. Further the previously stored information that was stored when the subsriber enrolled would meet the limitation of initial information because it is used to verify the password/PIN/biometric type information (Col. 3, line 37 - Col. 4, line 11)

Further, the biometric type of information would be considered information that would verify the identity of the subscriber, because that is the very nature of biometric information..